IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

TINA BACA,

Plaintiff

v. No. 13-cv-01065-LAM

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES UNDER THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act (Doc. 24) and memorandum in support [Doc. 26]. In the memorandum in support of the motion, Plaintiffs' counsel asks for an award of \$8,253.20 in attorney fees and \$350.00 in costs. [Doc. 26 at 1]. No response to the motion has been filed and the time for doing so has passed. In a stipulated order submitted to the Court, Plaintiff states that the parties have agreed to a revised amount of \$7,150.00 in attorney fees and \$350.00 in costs, for a total amount of \$7,500.00. Having considered the motion and memorandum in support, the record in this case, and the relevant law, the Court FINDS that Plaintiff's motion shall be GRANTED in part.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's *Motion for Attorney Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act* (*Doc. 24*) is **GRANTED in part**, and Plaintiff is authorized to receive the parties' agreed-upon amount of \$7,500.00 for payment to Plaintiff's attorney for services before this Court, as permitted by the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and in accordance with *Manning v. Astrue*, 510 F.3d 1246, 1255 (10th Cir. 2007).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff's counsel is ultimately granted attorney

fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) of the Social Security Act, Plaintiff's attorney shall refund

the smaller award to Plaintiff pursuant to Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 596 n.4 (2010)

(explaining that, if a claimant's counsel is ultimately granted attorney fees under § 406(b) out of

the claimant's benefit award, the claimant's attorney must refund to the claimant the amount of

the smaller fee).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Presiding by Consent

SUBMITTED BY: (Prior to modification by the Court)

Filed Electronically

Michael F. Hacker. Esq.

Approved as to form:

By E-Mail 2/11/15

Manny Lucero, Esq.

By E-Mail 2/10/15

Stuart Lipke, Esq.

2